

Celebrating a Year of the Expedited Access Pathway Program for Medical Devices

Posted on [May 31, 2016](#) by [FDA Voice](#)

By: Erin Cutts, B.S., Owen Faris, Ph.D., and Jeffrey Shuren, M.D., J.D

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is committed to supporting patient access to high-quality, safe, and effective medical devices of public health importance — as quickly as possible.



— Erin Cutts, B.S., Policy Lead, Q-Submission Program (acting), Office of Device Evaluation in FDA's Center for Devices and Radiological Health

For patients suffering from life-threatening conditions and who have few, if any, options, this access becomes critical. About a year ago, FDA's Center for Devices and Radiological Health created the voluntary [Expedited Access Pathway](#) (EAP) program to facilitate the development of and access to new technology for these patients who desperately need them.

The EAP program represents a collaborative approach to help manufacturers with product development and evaluation. Products in the EAP program ultimately undergo either Premarket Approval (PMA) or de novo review.

Other device programs may focus specifically on premarket review once a submission has been made. But EAP allows FDA and sponsors to focus on the early stages of product development, which can be helpful for new

products that address the unmet — and critical — life-threatening patient needs. In fact, we think the EAP program will have most impact when sponsors request EAP designation prior to beginning an IDE pivotal study. That way we can work with the sponsor to make sure the data being collected in the pivotal study are appropriate to include in the device's manufacturing submission.



— Owen Faris, Ph.D., Clinical Trials Director, Office of Device Evaluation in FDA's Center for Devices and Radiological Health.

For the devices in the EAP program, FDA, including senior leadership, maintains a high level of interaction with sponsors and provides advice on efficient device development. What does this mean? While working with the device sponsor, FDA carefully considers the risks and benefits of the new device, as well as the risks of delaying a new therapy to patients who have few or no other options. It may be appropriate to accept more initial uncertainty for devices in the EAP program so that an important technology can reach patients sooner. This uncertainty can be further addressed by collecting additional data once the device is on the market.



— Jeffrey Shuren, M.D., J.D., Director of FDA's Center for Devices and Radiological Health

Over the past year, FDA has made 29 decisions on requests for EAP designation: 17 have been accepted into the program, and 12 have been denied. These decisions were typically made in 30 days.

EAP designation requests have included devices for the heart, brain, and kidneys that are manufactured by small start-up companies and large corporations. We expect that our resources and focus on these promising technologies under the EAP program will allow them to be evaluated and enter the market more quickly, therefore providing options to the patients who need them most.

Projects should meet certain criteria to qualify for the EAP program:

- The device should treat or diagnose a life threatening or irreversibly debilitating disease or condition;
- The device should address an unmet need, which is usually shown by comparing the device to other available options; and,
- The company should have a Data Development Plan outlining what will be included in future submissions to FDA. This plan helps FDA and the company agree on the high level items up front to prevent confusion and delays later in the FDA review process.

As the program has grown in the past year, we've learned that companies who benefit most from this program are those that have a preliminary proof of principle for how their device works, but haven't undertaken formal studies to support future submissions to FDA. For these companies, discussing their Data Development Plan with the FDA and agreeing on a roadmap to their marketing application and beyond is an important part of a successful review.

So what's next? As we look forward to the EAP program's second year, we remain excited about the possibilities it presents – and to be working with industry to quickly bring new and innovative devices to patients.

Erin Cutts, B.S., is Policy Lead, Q-Submission Program (acting), Office of Device Evaluation in FDA's Center for Devices and Radiological Health.

Owen Faris, Ph.D., is Clinical Trials Director, Office of Device Evaluation in FDA's Center for Devices and Radiological Health.

Jeffrey Shuren, M.D., J.D., is Director of FDA's Center for Devices and Radiological Health.

This entry was posted in [Innovation, Medical Devices / Radiation-Emitting Products](#) and tagged [Data Development Plan, Expedited Access Program \(EAP\)](#) by [FDA Voice](#). Bookmark the [permalink](#).

Recent Related Posts

FROM COMPETITION TO COLLABORATION: PRECISIONFDA CHALLENGES

May 19, 2016

By: Taha Kass-Hout, M.D., M.S., Zivana Tezak, Ph.D., and Elaine Johanson Next week, we will announce the winners of the first precisionFDA challenge. We set up precisionFDA as an online, cloud-based, virtual research space to allow scientists from academia, industry, ...

[Continue reading →](#)

WHAT WE MEAN WHEN WE TALK ABOUT EVGEN PART II: BUILDING OUT A NATIONAL SYSTEM FOR EVIDENCE GENERATION

May 3, 2016

By: Rachel E. Sherman, M.D., M.P.H., and Robert M. Califf, M.D. In an earlier FDA Voice blog post, we discussed a pair of concepts – interoperability and connectivity – that are essential prerequisites for the creation of a successful national ... [Continue reading →](#)

SUBSCRIBE

[Sign up here](#) to receive FDA Voice blog posts via email


ABOUT THIS BLOG

FDA's official blog brought to you from FDA's senior leadership and staff stationed at home and abroad - sharing news, background, announcements and other information about the work done at the FDA on behalf of the American public.

CATEGORIES

- [Animal & Veterinary](#)
- [Children's Health](#)
- [Drugs](#)
- [Food](#)
- [Globalization](#)
- [Health Fraud](#)
- [Innovation](#)
- [Medical Devices / Radiation-Emitting Products](#)
- [Other Topics](#)
- [Pediatrics](#)
- [Regulatory Science](#)
- [Tobacco Products](#)
- [Uncategorized](#)
- [Vaccines, Blood & Biologics](#)

CONNECT WITH FDA

-  [Facebook](#)
-  [Twitter](#)
-  [YouTube](#)
-  [Flickr](#)
-  [Blog RSS](#)

BLOG ARCHIVE

- [June 2016](#)
- [May 2016](#)
- [April 2016](#)
- [March 2016](#)
- [February 2016](#)
- [January 2016](#)
- [December 2015](#)
- [November 2015](#)
- [October 2015](#)
- [September 2015](#)
- [August 2015](#)
- [July 2015](#)
- [June 2015](#)
- [May 2015](#)
- [April 2015](#)
- [March 2015](#)
- [February 2015](#)
- [January 2015](#)
- [December 2014](#)
- [November 2014](#)
- [October 2014](#)
- [September 2014](#)
- [August 2014](#)
- [July 2014](#)
- [June 2014](#)
- [May 2014](#)
- [April 2014](#)
- [March 2014](#)
- [February 2014](#)
- [January 2014](#)
- [December 2013](#)
- [November 2013](#)
- [October 2013](#)
- [September 2013](#)
- [August 2013](#)
- [July 2013](#)
- [June 2013](#)
- [May 2013](#)
- [April 2013](#)
- [March 2013](#)
- [February 2013](#)
- [January 2013](#)
- [December 2012](#)

- [November 2012](#)
- [October 2012](#)
- [September 2012](#)
- [August 2012](#)
- [July 2012](#)
- [June 2012](#)
- [May 2012](#)
- [April 2012](#)
- [March 2012](#)
- [February 2012](#)
- [January 2012](#)
- [December 2011](#)

[FDA NEWS](#)

- [FDA approves new diagnostic imaging agent to detect rare neuroendocrine tumors](#) June 1, 2016
- [FDA takes action against Kansas food manufacturer for repeated food safety violations](#) June 1, 2016
- [FDA approves first blood test to detect gene mutation associated with non-small cell lung cancer](#) June 1, 2016