

---

# **Nonallergic Rhinitis: Developing Drug Products for Treatment Guidance for Industry**

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services  
Food and Drug Administration  
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)**

**September 2018  
Clinical/Medical**

---

# **Nonallergic Rhinitis: Developing Drug Products for Treatment Guidance for Industry**

*Additional copies are available from:*

*Office of Communications, Division of Drug Information  
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research  
Food and Drug Administration  
10001 New Hampshire Ave., Hillandale Bldg., 4th Floor  
Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002*

*Phone: 855-543-3784 or 301-796-3400; Fax: 301-431-6353; Email: [druginfo@fda.hhs.gov](mailto:druginfo@fda.hhs.gov)  
<https://www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm>*

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services  
Food and Drug Administration  
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)**

**September 2018  
Clinical/Medical**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>I.</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II.</b>	<b>BACKGROUND .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>III.</b>	<b>GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR DEVELOPMENT .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>A.</b>	<b>Patient Selection.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>B.</b>	<b>Dose .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>C.</b>	<b>Trial Design .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>D.</b>	<b>Formulation Issues.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>E.</b>	<b>Evaluation.....</b>	<b>5</b>
	<i>1. Assessment of Patient Compliance .....</i>	<i>5</i>
	<i>2. Assessment of Efficacy.....</i>	<i>5</i>
	<i>3. Assessment of Rescue Medication Use .....</i>	<i>6</i>
	<i>4. Adverse Event Recording.....</i>	<i>6</i>
<b>IV.</b>	<b>CONSIDERATIONS FOR PEDIATRIC DEVELOPMENT.....</b>	<b>6</b>

## **Nonallergic Rhinitis: Developing Drug Products for Treatment Guidance for Industry<sup>1</sup>**

This guidance represents the current thinking of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA or Agency) on this topic. It does not establish any rights for any person and is not binding on FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if it satisfies the requirements of the applicable statutes and regulations. To discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA office responsible for this guidance as listed on the title page.

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

The purpose of this guidance is to assist applicants of new drug applications and biologics license applications in developing drug products for the treatment of nonallergic rhinitis (NAR) in children and adults.<sup>2</sup> The guidance discusses issues regarding the definition of a clinical phenotype, trial design, efficacy, and safety for new drug products under development. In particular, the guidance addresses development programs for the treatment of vasomotor rhinitis (VMR), which is a subtype of NAR.

This guidance does not contain discussion of the general issues of statistical analysis or clinical trial design. Those topics are addressed in the ICH guidances for industry *E9 Statistical Principles for Clinical Trials* and *E10 Choice of Control Group and Related Issues in Clinical Trials*<sup>3</sup> and the ICH draft guidance for industry *E9(R1) Statistical Principles for Clinical Trials: Addendum: Estimands and Sensitivity Analyses in Clinical Trials*.<sup>4</sup>

In general, FDA's guidance documents do not establish legally enforceable responsibilities. Instead, guidances describe the Agency's current thinking on a topic and should be viewed only as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of

---

<sup>1</sup> This guidance has been prepared by the Division of Pulmonary, Allergy, and Rheumatology Products in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research at the Food and Drug Administration.

<sup>2</sup> For the purposes of this guidance, the term *drug product* is inclusive of the small or large molecule active moiety or moieties in the formulation, along with the delivery device, if applicable.

<sup>3</sup> We update guidances periodically. For the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA guidance web page at <https://www.fda.gov/RegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm>.

<sup>4</sup> When final, this guidance will represent the FDA's current thinking on this topic. For the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA guidance web page at <https://www.fda.gov/RegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm>.

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or recommended, but not required.

## **II. BACKGROUND**

The nomenclature and understanding of the pathophysiology of NAR continue to evolve. The recommendations in this guidance are based on the Agency's current understanding of the definition of NAR and an assessment of important issues raised by the presumed heterogeneity of NAR. In general, rhinitis is regarded as a condition characterized by one or more of the following nasal symptoms: congestion, rhinorrhea, sneezing, and itching. Mucosal inflammation may be present but is not necessarily a requirement for all forms of rhinitis.

Rhinitis can be broadly divided into allergic and nonallergic forms. Allergic rhinitis can be clinically defined as rhinitis characterized by typical history and physical exam findings, associated with positive evidence of immunoglobulin E (IgE) sensitization to relevant environmental allergens. Although specific allergic sensitivities may vary among individuals, the pathophysiology is attributed to the same set of chemical mediators. More information on clinical development programs for allergic rhinitis can be found in the guidance for industry *Allergic Rhinitis: Developing Drug Products for Treatment*.

In contrast, NAR is less well defined. For the purposes of this guidance, NAR refers to the remaining rhinitis patients who do not have positive evidence of IgE sensitization. Both acute and chronic conditions are represented, driven by a wide variety of underlying mechanisms. Two major subtypes of NAR that have been described in the current literature are infectious rhinitis and vasomotor rhinitis (VMR). Infectious rhinitis may range from self-limited rhinitis secondary to common viral upper respiratory infections to more severe disease caused by other pathogens, such as fungal infections in an immunocompromised patient. In contrast, VMR is largely a diagnosis of exclusion. Other causes of rhinitis, including infections, medications, or other inflammatory conditions, must be excluded. VMR patients often cite increased sensitivity to certain stereotypical triggers (e.g., changes in temperature or humidity, airborne irritants, strong odors, and exercise), but the pathophysiology for these responses has yet to be fully understood.

Less common forms of NAR that have been described include gustatory rhinitis, hormonal rhinitis, drug-induced rhinitis, atrophic rhinitis, nonallergic rhinitis with eosinophilia syndrome, and rhinitis associated with certain inflammatory immunologic disorders. It is worth noting that the nomenclature for NAR subtypes is far from standard, and there may be overlap among the terms. For the most part, there are no diagnostic tests for the multiple forms of NAR, and the diagnosis is usually made by a combination of history, physician and laboratory exam, and the absence of positive evidence for allergic sensitization.

### **III. GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR DEVELOPMENT**

The heterogeneity of NAR poses challenges for drug product development. Designing a development program to address NAR as a single entity may pose issues of feasibility. Therefore, the Agency recommends that applicants focus on a specific NAR subtype. Because VMR is thought to be one of the more common forms of noninfectious NAR, this guidance addresses clinical trial considerations for a VMR development program as an example.

Depending on the NAR subtype of interest, alternative trial designs and other considerations may be relevant. Given the relative lack of consensus at this time on the classification of NAR subtypes, preliminary studies to define and characterize other clinically relevant, reproducible phenotypes may be needed before the initiation of a formal clinical program for drug product development. Observational and population-based studies may play a role in characterizing the natural history and epidemiology of the particular NAR subtype of interest, and mechanistic studies or challenge models may be important for elucidating pathophysiology. These types of investigations, some of which may be beyond the typical scope of a development program for a specific drug product, might nevertheless be important for guiding patient selection and providing an appropriate context in which to evaluate the risk-benefit of a particular drug product.

The Agency encourages applicants to consult the review division early in the development program for drug products intended for other subtypes of NAR.

#### **A. Patient Selection**

Patient selection should reflect the NAR subtype of interest, and applicants should base the inclusion and exclusion criteria on clinically meaningful, accessible parameters (i.e., health care providers should be able to identify and diagnose patients with the NAR subtype of interest in a real-world setting).

For VMR trials, the Agency recommends that patients have a history of VMR for a minimum of 2 years before trial entry. The Agency recommends documentation of a lack of sensitization to environmental allergens relevant to the geographical area of the trial either by negative skin testing (by prick or intradermal methods) or by adequately validated in vitro tests for specific IgE. We suggest that these tests be performed during the 12 months before enrollment.

A positive history of increased sensitivity to certain stereotypical VMR triggers (e.g., changes in temperature or humidity, airborne irritants, strong odors, and exercise) may be useful for screening patients. However, there is both inter- and intra-patient variability with regard to specific VMR triggers, and many healthy individuals without rhinitis will experience acute rhinitis symptoms when exposed to similar, intense triggers. Therefore, although a positive history can be helpful in corroborating a VMR diagnosis, the diagnosis cannot rely solely upon such history.

## ***Contains Nonbinding Recommendations***

If an applicant intends to seek a VMR indication in the context of a specific trigger, the applicant should document sensitivity to that specific trigger for each patient and ensure adequate exposure to that trigger during the course of the trial.

### **B. Dose**

The goal of dose exploration is to identify the optimal dose and dosing frequency, balancing benefit with risk. Dose selection should be based on clinically meaningful endpoints because pharmacodynamic markers may not be predictive. Ideally, dose exploration should be conducted in a clinical trial in a real-world setting because other exposure models, such as nasal provocation challenges, may not be predictive of real-world clinical responses. However, the utility of such models may vary depending on the subtype of NAR that is being studied. The Agency encourages applicants to consult the review division to discuss the utility of alternative exposure models for a specific drug product development program.

### **C. Trial Design**

The following are general recommendations for efficacy and safety trials in noninfectious NAR. Other considerations specific to the NAR subtype of interest may be applicable and should be discussed with the review division early in the development program.

- In general, applicants should conduct efficacy and safety trials under real-life conditions. However, as noted above in the discussion of dose exploration, alternative exposure models may be of use in certain situations, provided that there is evidence to support the models' relevance to clinical responses in the real world.
- We recommend double-blinded, placebo-controlled, parallel group trials for efficacy and safety, preferably with a placebo run-in period. The run-in period serves to assess for a minimum level of compliance and symptom severity under real-life conditions.
- For VMR, the suggested duration of the treatment period for efficacy assessment is at least 4 weeks. The appropriate trial duration for other NAR subtypes may vary.
- Patients with NAR may have concomitant allergic rhinitis. Therefore, it is helpful for efficacy trials to be conducted during a time when relevant seasonal allergens are less abundant and therefore less likely to influence results of the trial.

### **D. Formulation Issues**

For intranasal products, FDA encourages applicants to provide information in the clinical trial protocol on the specific formulations used for both the to-be marketed product and the placebo. Information on the device used for administration should also be provided, if applicable. We recommend key dose-ranging and efficacy and safety trials use the to-be-marketed formulation and device. If not, the applicant should address how the safety and effectiveness of the studied formulation and/or device will be bridged to the to-be-marketed formulation and/or device. If

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

bridging of one formulation to another is proposed, information about the formulation composition and trial lots should be included in the trial reports for the respective products.

One particular consideration for VMR programs is formulation changes that alter the sensorial attributes of the drug product because patients with VMR often report sensitivity to scents and irritants. As a result, formulation changes may alter the efficacy and safety of a drug product. The applicant should evaluate, on a case-by-case basis, the extent to which previous clinical data obtained with a different formulation can be used in support of a new formulation.

### **E. Evaluation**

#### *1. Assessment of Patient Compliance*

The trial protocol or trial report should provide information about how compliance with drug product use will be determined and documented throughout the trial and how noncompliance will be dealt with.

#### *2. Assessment of Efficacy*

Patient-reported *instantaneous* and *reflective* total nasal symptom scores have been commonly used in clinical trials for various forms of rhinitis. Instantaneous refers to symptoms within a limited time frame before the assessment (e.g., 1 hour or less) and reflective refers to the interval from the time of last assessment (e.g., 12 hours for morning and evening scoring). These summed scores generally include the following four nasal symptoms: rhinorrhea, nasal congestion, nasal itching, and sneezing rated on a 0 to 3 scale of severity. Addition or deletion of symptoms to or from the total score can be appropriate, based on the mechanism of action of the product and the specific form of rhinitis of interest. Such changes should be discussed with the review division. FDA recommends patient-reported total nasal symptom scores as the primary measure of efficacy.

A common nasal symptom rating system that has been used in clinical trials is the following 0 to 3 scale:

- 0 = absent symptoms (no sign/symptom evident)
- 1 = mild symptoms (sign/symptom present but minimal awareness; easily tolerated)
- 2 = moderate symptoms (definite awareness of sign/symptom that is bothersome but tolerable)
- 3 = severe symptoms (sign/symptom that is hard to tolerate; causes interference with activities of daily living and/or sleeping)

Regardless of the scoring system chosen, a detailed description of the symptom rating scale should be provided to patients. This should include instructions on proper completion of the



## ***Contains Nonbinding Recommendations***

symptom diary and definitions of the different categories in the scale.<sup>5</sup> The protocol should define the primary estimand (i.e., the primary measure of treatment effect to be estimated in the clinical trial) and justify that the estimand can be estimated with minimal and plausible assumptions.

### ***3. Assessment of Rescue Medication Use***

If rescue medications are allowed during the trial, the trial protocol should document how rescue medication use will be analyzed in the different treatment groups. We recommend inclusion of a section in the clinical trial report that presents rescue medication use in the different treatment groups.

### ***4. Adverse Event Recording***

We recommend that adverse events be recorded in a daily patient diary record, in addition to being elicited by trial staff at clinic visits.

## **IV. CONSIDERATIONS FOR PEDIATRIC DEVELOPMENT**

The pediatric age ranges proposed for a drug product, particularly for young patients, should be justified by the applicant based on the presence of disease and the need for treatment in that age group. The occurrence of different types of noninfectious NAR, including VMR, in younger pediatric patients is uncertain. For topical drug products, the appropriateness of the delivery system for the proposed age range is an additional consideration. We encourage applicants to discuss the specifics of pediatric programs as early as is feasible with the division on a case-by-case basis because applicants are required to submit pediatric study plans under the Pediatric Research Equity Act no later than 60 days after an end-of-phase 2 meeting. We recommend applicants refer to the Pediatric Research Equity Act as amended by the Food and Drug Administration Safety and Innovation Act of 2012.<sup>6</sup>

---

<sup>5</sup> See the guidance for industry *Patient-Reported Outcome Measures: Use in Medical Product Development to Support Labeling Claims*.

<sup>6</sup> See section 505B(e) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, as amended by section 506 of the Food and Drug Administration Safety and Innovation Act, and the draft guidance for industry *Pediatric Study Plans: Content of and Process for Submitting Initial Pediatric Study Plans and Amended Initial Pediatric Study Plans*. When final, this guidance will represent the FDA's current thinking on this topic. For the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA guidance web page at <https://www.fda.gov/RegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm>.